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## Abstract

As increasing usage of servers, the threats of anomaly operations to system have also been gone up. Recognizing the anomalous instances is still one of the important works for system anomaly detection and classification.

Anomaly detection and type classification problem for time series is a tedious but mush beneficial task, especially harnessing the massive amount of data generated by variable systems to create value for technology development and business strategies. By using the state-of-art machine learning techniques and deep neural networks, we propose a series of approaches to assist in focusing on detecting the most crucial types of anomalies and gaining critically insights of log information. Here we propose a state-of-the-art recurrent neural network approach and measure the accuracy of our diverse array of classification algorithms. The results reveal the strengths and advantages of our long short-term memory neural network and convolutional neural network for real-world classification tasks of anomaly types. In this article, we employ Convolutional neural network (CNN) architectures for anomaly classification models with our system log dataset. Our study shows that deep-learning-based classification models outperform the state-of-the-art machine learning approaches.

**Index Terms**—Anomaly Classification, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Log Analysis, Convolutional neural network

## 1 Introduction

During the last decade, a plenty of system services have stimulated a flood of interest in log anomaly classification, and it also induces new challenges which impacts system operators. The management of real-time system event log and outliers potentially affecting the end users. … For a complex scenario like this, it is of vital importance to effectively detect and classify the occurrence of system anomalies for reducing the loss of the profit.

Anomaly classification facilitates the anomaly detection of system operations and states, which including system events like unauthorized access or unexpected data wrote in file system.

We propose a simple yet efficient approach to detect and classify system operation anomalies using machine learning and deep learning techniques. Deep learning algorithms build data-driven models from labeled data and make predictions on data which they can learn from. Deep learning provide a more promising alternate for detecting and categorizing log anomalies based on the large set of original features or more relevant set of features for classification process. Deep learning has been largely used in the field of image classification, speech recognition, etc., but not much in log anomalies. Our studies have shown that deep learning algorithms are able to achieve potentially high classification accuracy.

To obtain favorable performances of anomaly classification, we propose a deep learning model based on well-known deep convolutional neural network. A convolutional neural network is a classification algorithm that classifies instances by … Deep learning algorithms are like appealing black-box solutions, it’s efficient but very challenging to understand the detailed reasons leading to a particular classification result. In addition, CNN explicitly show …, the learning algorithm automatically select the most discriminating features. Deep CNN…. Last but not least, previous work [] has shown that deep learning outperforms other machine learning algorithms for the sake of log anomaly classification.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly reviews the related works. Section 3 reveals our deep learning approaches utilized in the rest of the paper. Section 4 describes the proposed anomaly classification experiments including the generation of the semantic datasets used for models training and evaluation purposes. Section 5 presents the discussions of the obtained anomaly classification results. Finally, the last section concludes out work.

Usually, log data is analyzed in order to detect misuses of a system or suspicious events indicating anomalies.

Anomaly discrimination related problems are addressed in a great deal of practical applications, including fraud detection, intrusion detection, system health monitoring as well as event detection in sensor networks. Anomalous items are also referred to as outliers, novelties, noise, deviations and exceptions [1].

In contrast to typical unsupervised anomaly detection, which is often applied on unlabeled data set under the assumption that the majority of the instances are normal, instead, we here take a data set that has been labeled as normal and abnormal into account for supervised anomaly classification tasks with the state-of-the-art deep learning algorithm classifier. In supervised learning, removing the anomalous data from the data set often results in a statistically significant increase in accuracy [2].

There are a diverse array of anomaly detection techniques using machine learning have been proposed, such as density-based techniques [3, 4, 5], correlation-based outlier detection [6], cluster analysis-based outlier detection [7, 8] and ensemble techniques [9, 10]. When compared across huge data sets and hyper parameters, different methods have little systematic advantages over another in the measurement performance [11].

To classify types of anomalies at scale, we use different combinations of techniques starting with Deep CNN and ending with CNN sophisticated deep learning models.

The semantic transformation from a raw unstructured anomaly categorization task to a structured anomaly type classification task requires a solid background knowledge of the dataset, which features and instances are so different from the original raw data, namely the generation of a data view [12].

In fact, many practical anomaly detection problems often require a preprocessing in order to generate the appropriate data to handle with. The final step before the unsupervised anomaly detection algorithm can be applied is normalization. In practical applications, the min-max normalization is often used, every feature is normalized into a [0, 1] interval, so do we in the evaluation in this article

In this paper we extend the anomaly classification research to deep learning, which applying complex architecture with non-linear spatial temporal transformations. Our deep CNN model obtain high classification accuracy with performance measurements of original large scale system log dataset.

The reminder of the paper is organized as follows: section 2 introduce the related works, a brief description of CNN architecture is given in section 3. Section 4 presents the details of our experiments including dataset and parameters settings. We discuss our results and analysis in section 5. Finally, we conclude our works in section 6.

## 2 Related Work

There has been considerable amount of research about anomaly classification in system log in recent years.

There are some machine learning approaches in anomaly detection and classification, for instance SVM, random forest... Among the machine learning techniques, random forest in widely considered as the recent anomaly classification researches.

It is commonly accepted that deep learning algorithms are well-suited for classification with higher accuracy than other previous techniques.

Sequences and time series data usually need different algorithms to detect anomalies [13]. By using ... , P. Fiadino et al. [19] reported statistical detection and diagnosis of anomalies. Lazarevic et al. [14] compared LOF, k-NN, PCA and unsupervised SVM for intrusion detection. Ding et al. [15] studied SVDD, a k-NN classifier, k-means and a GMM for detecting anomalies. Amer et al. [16] proposed One-class Support Vector Machines for anomaly detection. The local density cluster-based outlier factor (LDCOF) [18] detect anomalies by estimating the clusters’ densities assuming a spherical distribution of the cluster members. Sub-space clustering approaches [20] have also been used in anomaly classification.

Combining with multiple anomaly detection algorithms, outlier ensembles boost their joint detection performance [17].

In this article we apply deep CNN approaches in large scale system anomaly classification.

## 3 Method

Convolutional neural network is well-known for modeling spatial matrix data such as images data. Shallow CNN model did not do well in large scale matrix data.

We briefly introduce baseline CNN architecture and its problem. Then we describe our several deep CNN models to address anomaly classification tasks for large scale system.

**3.1 Baseline CNN**

Different from conventional feed forward neural networks, CNN have back propagation network .. Assuming that the input vector, the hidden vector and the output vector denoted by X, H and Y respectively. Given that X = (x1,x2,..., xn). ..

Where w is weight matrix, b is a bias vector.

**3.2 Deep CNN**

Deep CNN ... Figure 3 shows our Deep CNN model, the value computation are described in the following equations.

Q is the logistic sigmoid function,

We can solve the ...problems by using deep CNN.

**3.3 Model Implementation**

We applied semantic data, derived from real system operation traces as suggested in []. The data in such format allows analyze the real-time system server operation with a large number of operational anomalies efficiently, moreover, it protects the sensitive information of system services. The procedure of generating semantic data and preprocessing are illustrated as following.

A label can be used as a result indicating whether an instance is an anomaly or not.

Let’s review discriminative algorithms from the perspective of application to finding various types of anomalies. The most suitable type of neural network working with time series is convolutional neural network, if properly built, it allows you to model the most sophisticated dependencies.

## 4 Dataset

Using a larger set of log data as input for a deep learning model is not always the best choice, as this increases the dimension of the input data, introducing sparsity issues. Therefore, it may negatively impact classification results. Meanwhile, irrelevant or redundant features will bring more noise to the overall process, thus models will obtain inferior performances.

Large scale system log dataset is used in our experiment to measure the performance of deep CNN classifiers. The original dataset is unstructured text log data, so we convert it to semantic-based numeric matrix data.

There are 800,000 system log records in the dataset and each record has 140 features, they are categorized to 14 classes according to their properties. Table 1 reveals the categories of anomalous instances. Internet anomaly instance indicates ...

We here apply 10 percent of original system log dataset for training and test, because of large scale of them. The data ratio of database anomaly instances is bigger than others presented in figure 4, thus database anomalous instances are able to recognized easily, and it will be unfair to the overall model training and evaluation.

We total include 14 different variations of anomalies, each one is assigned a class.

We construct a fully labeled dataset spanning a period of a couple of months with consecutive days. The construction of the semantic data set is conceived with the objective of fundamentally maintaining the underlying structural characteristics of the raw temporal operation data as much as possible. The transformation procedure is described as follows. The first step of the construction procedure consists of manually labeling. Then, we transform the textual information into structured representation.

We divide this vector into m blocks, each one corresponding to a two-minute interval….

The data set gained in this way retains certain features of real log data. It keeps the time-series variations of system operation, also, it maintains the differentiation among a variety of anomaly types.

In order to categorize the anomalies, we take the distributions of anomalies across the types described in table 1.

During several months of trials, we successfully classify anomalies with high accuracy. We aim at modeling...

## 5 Experiment

We describe our detailed experiments settings in this section, including optimal hyper-parameter values for our deep CNN models to obtain best performance. Our experiment run environment configuration is listed as below:

CPU: Intel Xeon E5-2630 2.4 GHz

GPU: Nvidia Tesla M40

RAM: 64GB

OS: Ubuntu 16.04

**5.1 Evaluation Metrics**

Accuracy, precision, recall and f1-score are used here as the metrics for performance evaluation of anomaly classification. Accuracy denotes.., Precision signifies..., Recall ..,F1-score ... Equations of the metrics based on confusion matrix are presented as follow:

**5.2 Performance Evaluation**

Using more features increases the dimensionality of the feature space, usually bring in undesirable effects like sparsity, and some redundant or irrelevant features may diminish performance of models in classification.

In this section we describe the proposed anomaly classification approach based on deep learning, focusing on the principal features as input. Deep learning is widely employed lately as it is very efficient in a large number of scenarios, especially for huge amount of high-dimensional datasets.

Besides convolutional neural network approach, we consider deep learning approach in our work. In addition, ... It is clear that the selection of features for classification tasks plays a major role in its empirical performance. CNN approach generally consider the temporal analysis of certain features, it employs a powerful ... to build appealing ...

Convolutional neural network is composed of multiple layers of neurons, each of them generally represented by a non-linear function [], every neural employs an activation function that maps the weighted inputs to the output that is passed to the following layer. The weights, originally set to random values, are iteratively adjusted during the training phase.

Global accuracy, recall and precision, F1-score are consider here to evaluate the performance of our deep learning classification models. Global accuracy Ai indicates the… Recall Ri means, … Precision Pi is… These four standard metrics are widely used for performance evaluation in classification tasks. Accuracy measures…, precision measures…, recall measures…, whereas F1-score measures..

Figure 2 depicts the performance comparison of the 2 classifiers in the classification of all 14 anomalous types. To decrease the influence the bias might bring in to all the evaluations, we employ 8-fold cross-validation with different random splits of the dataset, which indicates that we train and test our models in 8 different train/test dataset combinations.

There are no particular bias for both classifiers. The CNN classifier shows a slightly higher variance in the results, which might suggests that the model is slightly less robust and prone to leading to over-fitting problems.

CNN models provide great insights about

## 5 Results and Discussion

We evaluate our proposed deep learning approaches in this section by comparing anomalous instances classification performances achieved by all methods.

Not only the occurrence event is categorized in our experiment, but also the whole duration is classified here. Note that CNN is meant to be applied in the temporal dataset.

CNN achieves almost perfect classification performance in both cases, even slightly surpassing the CNN classifier. Figure 2 presents the classification results achieved by CNN on all features. We can conclude that CNN offers an accuracy comparable or even slightly better that that achieved by CNN in all anomalous types.

The classification performance obtained of type database anomalies is slightly worse than that of type file.

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper we have proposed a deep-learning-based approach for anomaly classification of large scale system operation log data, offering a very powerful and straightforward technique to categorize anomalous instances. We believe this appealing approach is capable of providing high insights for understanding system server operations without disclosing any business sensitive information. By depending on deep learning techniques, we have shown the classification performance of the labeled anomalies in an efficient fashion. In general, CNN approach outperforms the CNN method. We will explore better deep learning model for anomaly classification in the future work.

This area is still on-going research, and it requires a lot of work to build the model for the time series. Should you succeed, you may achieve outstanding performance results in terms of accuracy.
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